TOWNSHIP OF INDIANA

PLANNING COMMISSION

FEBRUARY 28, 2018

A reorganization meeting of the Indiana Township Planning Commission was called to order at 6:00 p.m. by Senior Member Patrick Miller with the Pledge of Allegiance. The meeting was recorded on audio cassette.

The roll was called and Planning Commission members present were Tom Ceponis, Patrick Miller, and Michael Rouggie. David Geahry and Cecil Tranquill were not in attendance. Also in attendance were Code Enforcement Officer Jeffrey S. Curti, Recording Secretary Jacquelyn Rouggie, and Robert Herring, P.E./Project Manager from Nichols and Slagle Engineering.

APPROVED

REORGANIZATION

Mr. Rouggie made a motion, seconded by Mr. Miller, to appoint Tom Ceponis as Chairman, Patrick Miller as Vice Chairman, and Michael Rouggie as Board Secretary; also, that Jacquelyn Rouggie remain Recording Secretary for 2018. Motion carried unanimously.

MEETING MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 25, 2017

Mr. Miller made a motion, seconded by Mr. Rouggie, to approve the November 25, 2017 regular meeting minutes as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

MONTHLY MEETING DATES

Mr. Miller made a motion, seconded by Mr. Rouggie, that monthly meeting dates remain the same: the fourth Wednesday of each month at 6:00 pm, with the exception that the December meeting will be held on December 19th due to the Christmas Holiday. Motion carried unanimously.

DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS

i2 ADVISORS STORAGE - SITE PLAN

Commission Members were presented with a Site Plan consisting of building a new storage business on a vacant lot. The property is located on Harts Run Road, approximately 0.1 miles north of the intersection with Saxonburg Blvd. The property is zoned “O/C” Office Flex Commercial. The applicant David Landman, as well as Dave Hager with PVE Engineering, were present.

Mr. Hager said the property is located at 3429 Harts Run Road and is currently owned by Dewey D. Spittler. He said years ago Mr. Spittler came to the Township with plans to put storage units on the parcel, but it never happened. Mr. Hager said they are also proposing to erect a 4,800 sq. ft. Auto Mechanical Service building of the property, along with twenty six (26) 10' x 20' Storage Units. Mr. Hager showed a copy of the plans they are proposing. He said they already have their Highway Occupancy Permit from PennDOT. He said the Stormwater facility is already existing on adjacent property owned by the Spittler’s and there is an Easement Agreement in place for the new business to use it.

Mr. Herring reviewed the Township Engineer Daniel Slagle's letter dated February 22, 2018. He asked that the discrepancy in the property bearings and distances be clarified with the historical Hampton Engineering plan from 1985. Mr. Hager agreed to look into this. Mr. Ceponis asked if the Dewey's will own the new business. Mr. Hager said they will not. Mr. Curti asked if a tenant has been secured. Mr. Landman said a lease is still being negotiated between his company and the Spittlers'. Mr. Curti asked what type of material they are planning to use for the structures; Mr. Hager said they will be metal.

Mr. Herring said the locations of all existing and proposed easements need to be shown on the plan. He also said the existing stormwater facilities will be altered as a result of the site plan. He asked the easement vacation documentation be provided for the existing storm sewer easements to be removed. He said the plans also need to be changed to correctly label "Harts Run Road" as being "SR 1010". Mr. Hager said all but two need revised. Mr. Herring asked if they plan to vacate the easement and move it to a new location. Mr. Ceponis asked if the existing detention pond will be able to handle both the Dewey's existing business, plus the newly proposed business. Mr. Hager said he feels it can. Mr. Curti said they are similar in size. Mr. Ceponis asked if paving is planned; Mr. Hager said yes.

Mr. Herring asked if there are any natural and/or artificial features on the property that may impact the plan. Mr. Hager said there are none. Mr. Herring said they need to provide a demolition plan indicating if any existing concrete walls, etc. are being removed or altered. Mr. Herring said photographic examples of the proposed storage facilities need provided to indicate the proposed use of the "Flex Space". Mr. Herring was not sure about the conformity of the auto business. Mr. Hager said the building will be pre-fabricated. Mr. Rouggie and Mr. Ceponis asked if the Storage Units will be rented to the public; Mr. Landman said they will.

Mr. Herring asked that the tabulation regarding the proposed use and utilities be added to the plan. The environmental statement from the Township's SALDO regarding stormwater runoff and environmental concerns also needs to be added. Mr. Herring asked what type of screening is being proposed between any contiguous residential districts. Mr. Hager showed the location. Mr. Herring said it appears to be 30' away from the stream. Mr. Hager said there are no adjacent residential structures and the site is at a significantly different vertical elevation than the adjacent residential district. Mr. Herring asked about the storage at the facility. Mr. Hager said an enclosure will be erected around the dumpster. Mr. Ceponis said he does not want it to look like a junk yard. Mr. Landman said this could be put in as part of the lease. Mr. Herring said more landscaping needs to be put in around the detention basin and asked that a landscaping plan be submitted. Mr. Herring said all lighting must be shielded. He said the photometric plan submitted needs to be extended to include Harts Run Road to certify the lighting is properly shielded from traffic. Mr. Herring asked that a copy of the PennDOT HOP be submitted to the Township. He also said all lands that are disturbed must be properly graded and seeded within a reasonable time frame.

Mr. Herring continued with the review letter. He said the location of the nearest fire hydrant needs shown on the plan, and a letter from the local Fire Chief regarding

APPROVED

adequacy to serve the business needs provided. Mr. Herring said the actual lot coverage needs to be provided; it cannot exceed 50%. Mr. Hager said they will only be covering about 11% of the parcel. Mr. Herring asked if the parking was considered as part of the lot coverage. Mr. Curti said the 50% coverage ONLY pertains to buildings and structures, not parking areas. Mr. Herring said a minimum of 25' spacing needs provided between non-residential buildings to permit emergency responders to be able to safely access the buildings from all sides. Mr. Hager said the spacing is only 22' in some areas. Mr. Herring asked if Zoning Hearing Board approval might be needed. Mr. Curti said he can check with the Township's Solicitor. Mr. Hager said their plans may be able to be adjusted to meet the 25' requirement.

Mr. Herring said the proposed fence in the front yard extending to the front building line cannot exceed 4' in height, and beyond the building line, it cannot exceed 8' in height. He asked the plan be revised accordingly. Mr. Hager said they can meet the buffer zone requirements. Mr. Curti noted the stream behind them is located 40' below the property in elevation. Mr. Herring said all parking lot markings/stripings must meet PennDOT and ADA specifications; Mr. Hager said they are in compliance. Mr. Herring said one parking space for every 300 sq. ft. of gross floor area is required. He said in this case, 10,000 sq. ft. would require 33 parking spaces. Mr. Herring said this site is now being considered as an "automotive" type of business as well. Mr. Hager said it is also still a storage facility area. Mr. Herring said if the area is used as an automotive business only, things may change. Mr. Hager said fourteen (14) parking spaces are being proposed. Mr. Herring asked if there will be an office area too. Mr. Hager said there will be. Mr. Curti asked if the office is going to be used for the storage units; Mr. Hager said no. Mr. Ceponis said he feels the storage units are rather large. He also asked who will manage the site. Mr. Landman said it will be monitored as an automatic, gated-type of facility; verbiage will be put in the leases regarding this. Mr. Herring said a road bond will be needed if material is hauled to or from the site; Mr. Hager said they do not plan to haul anything. Mr. Herring asked if there are any protective covenants running with the land; Mr. Hager said there are not. Mr. Herring said the final drawings must be signed and sealed by an engineer, surveyor, or other qualified professional. Also, the Owner must enter into a Developer's Agreement that is acceptable to the Township Solicitor, and all appropriate bonds must be posted.

Mr. Herring then began reviewing the Engineer's Stormwater Management Plan letter, which was also dated February 22, 2018. He said ACCD/PADEP approval of the E & S Control Plan/NPDES Permit is needed, if required. Mr. Landman said if the area is under an acre, one is not needed. Mr. Herring said the applicant has indicated they plan to utilize the same detention basin as designed by Tait Engineering back in the Fall of 2010 for the Spittler Plan of Lots. He asked that a signed and sealed copy of the plan be provided. Mr. Hager said he was getting a copy of this from Hampton Technical Associates. Mr. Herring asked who owns the existing 20" storm sewer. Mr. Hager said he was not sure. Mr. Herring requested that Mr. Hager identify the owner and provide approval for the changes. Mr. Herring said the engineering calculations show the pipe diameter to be 18"; he said historically, they are 20". He also said to show an easement. Mr. Herring asked who owns and maintains the existing facility; Mr. Hager said Mr. Spittler, who is the current property owner. Mr. Herring said they must post money for a Special Stormwater Facility Fund in the amount of $5,000 per

APPROVED

basin to defray the cost of inspection and maintenance for a 10 year period.

Mr. Herring said he feels a lot of items need to be addressed at this point, and suggested the Planning Commission consider tabling the plan until its next meeting. Mr. Landman asked why the plan cannot be approved with conditions. Mr. Herring said they would like a lot of the conditions taken care of prior to passing it onto the Board of Supervisors for their review. Mr. Curti noted they may also need Zoning Hearing Board approval for the waiver of the spacing between buildings, which takes time to get together, advertise appropriately, etc. Mr. Landman was told the next Board meeting is on March 13th. Mr. Miller said if they reduce the number of storage units from 20 to 18, they would be able to meet the Ordinance. Mr. Hager said he feels the parking spaces and buffers can be met. Mr. Rouggie said he also feels there are too many unaddressed items to be able to send them on to the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Rouggie made a motion, seconded by Mr. Miller, recommending the i2 ADVISORS STORAGE - SITE PLAN be tabled due to the large number of unaddressed outstanding items in the Engineer's review letters dated February 22, 2018.

Motion carried unanimously.

RICHMONT ESTATES - PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT (PRD) - Tentative Approval (2nd/Revised Submittal)

Commission Members were presented with a revised tentative approval request for a development known as Richmont Estates. This PRD consists of the development of Parcel 726-L-322 (12.7 acres) and a majority of Parcel 726-L-312 (21.2 acres) into 55 lots. The PRD is comprised of 45 single-family houses and 10 townhouse structures (52 dwelling units), for a total of 97 dwelling units. The properties are located off of Rich Hill Road, approximately 0.4 miles north of the intersection with Jacoby Road. The property is zoned "MDR" Medium Density Residential. Steven Victor, ASLA and partner at Victor-Wetzel Associates, was present to represent the applicant, Leslie Road Associates, LLC.

Mr. Victor said the initial submittal of this development consisted entirely of single family dwellings. Since that time, the applicant has decided to add townhouses as well. He said the applicant feels this would be a good use of the land for the Township. He then presented a "Power Point" presentation of the plan, showing the location, topography of the land, the layout of the homes, etc. He said there is 75' frontage on Rich Hill Road; this will be where the entrance into the plan will be. They will not be accessing the plan from Jacoby Road, which abuts the south-easterly corner of the development. He said the plan will be done in two phases. He said Ryan Homes will be the builder of the homes and townhouses. He said the townhouse unit style they plan to use is called "The Wexford" model. Mr. Ceponis asked what the price points will be. Mr. Victor said he thinks the townhouses will sell for about $275,000 to $300,000, and the single family homes for about $300,000 to $325,000. Mr. Victor said there is a significant amount of open space in the development. He also showed the location of the two detention basins. He said the new location will divert water from the McDade Lane area of the plan, which is a private road located off of Jacoby Road. He also

APPROVED

showed the location of the sanitary sewer system. He said all utilities will come off of Rich Hill Road. He said the streets will be public, and at the end of the plan, there will be a T-intersection with a service drive to the left. He said there will be two different HOA's due to the different types of homes; there will be a "master" HOA, and then two sub-HOA's. Mr. Ceponis said this is normal when a plan has two types of homes; the Master HOA usually covers the open space areas, and then there are different fees and restrictions for the HOA's depending on whether it is an SFD or a town home. Mr. Victor continued with his presentation, and showed the landscaping within the plan. He said a lot of the trees are already existing and they will add additional plantings as needed. He described the different types of vegetation they plan to use. He said the landscaping will meet the requirements of the Township code. He also showed a "Tree Mitigation Plan" that was recommended by a forester. He said a total of 1,079 plants are proposed. Mr. Ceponis asked that the caliper and size of the street trees be shown. Mr. Herring said the proposed trees will be very similar to the ones proposed to be used for the Fox Chapel Estates PRD development. He said they will be consistent with the requirements in the Township's SALDO.

Mr. Victor said they would like to request four (4) waiver modifications within the plan. They would like to request a ***waiver*** on the requirement of the side yard setback of 15' be reduced to 12.5' for the SFD's. They would like to request a ***waiver*** on the requirement that no structures be closer than 25' from any other structure to be reduced to a 20' minimum building separation for the townhouse units. He said all of the townhouses will have fire rated sprinklers and fire rated siding on the end units. Mr. Victor said the Township requires that no more than 25% of slope areas between 25% to 40% shall be disturbed. He said slopes of 25% to 40% are in 5.8 acres of the plan. He said 1.45 acres are allowed (25%); he said they would like a ***waiver*** and propose 2.5 acres (43%). He said the disturbance is minimal and required due to the detention facility and re-routing water away from the homes in the McDade Lane area of the plan. He said he has a Geotechnical Report that justifies this slope disturbance. The final ***waiver*** they are asking for is that no development or disturbance is allowed on slopes exceeding 40%, which equals 2.3 acres. He said the allowed disturbance would be 0.0 acres; they are requesting a ***waiver*** on the proposed disturbance to be 0.1 acres. He said the slopes in excess of 40% are almost all located in the area where the detention facility is located and the waiver will help to re-route the stormwater away from the McDade Lane area. Therefore, the slopes must be impacted. This is also justified in their Geotechnical Report. He said there will be easements placed on the buffer areas that are impacted stating that no structures are permitted to be built there. Mr. Ceponis asked if they plan to put that statement in the covenants; Mr. Victor said they do. Mr. Curti asked why they do not just make the lots smaller. Mr. Victor said the easements will not be pedestrian easements, nor will they be affected.

Mr. Herring asked what the existing screening consists of. Mr. Victor said it resembles a small forest right now. Mr. Victor also described the 40' slope disturbance, and why they are asking for the 0.1 of an acre waiver. Mr. Curti asked if this was due to the pipe on Jacoby Road. Mr. Ceponis asked if trenching will be done. Mr. Victor said yes and they plan to fill slopes. Mr. Victor said his Geotechnical report indicates there will be no landslide problems. He also said during building they will have someone on-site to ensure this.

APPROVED

Mr. Herring reviewed Township Engineer Dan Slagle's letter dated February 21, 2018. He said they received revised drawings two days ago, addressing some of the items in this review letter, but the engineers did not have time to produce a new review letter. Mr. Herring asked if there are any natural and/or artificial features which may impact the development, including existing wells, landslide prone areas, soils, mining activities, etc. He said attached to the review letter is a section from a PCSM Plan that indicates there is a gas well and a 15' gas-line easement on the property. Mr. Herring asked that the gas well be shown on the plan. He said it appears the proposed service drive at the "T" intersection will be used to gain access to the well. He also said it appears they plan to relocate the gas-line. He asked if they plan to relocate the entire length of the line. Mr. Victor said yes, and they are currently working with the gas company to design the relocation. Mr. Herring said structures must be 25' feet away from the gas line. He also asked that approval from the gas company be provided to the Township.

Mr. Herring asked that the approximate location and size of all proposed buildings on the plan be shown, including the location for existing residential structures on the eastern side of the property which access McDade Lane. He also asked if they would consider revising the townhouse units to only quads or less, instead of six in a unit. Mr. Victor said no. Mr. Curti asked why. Mr. Herring said it would not be as dense of a plan if this was done, although there is nothing in the Township Ordinance that requires this. He said they are proposed to be staggered at 2'. Mr. Ceponis suggested that Mr. Victor obtain colored renderings of the proposed homes from the builder for when they present their plan to the Board for consideration. Mr. Herring said they want visuals put on Sheet 5 and the Cover Sheet.

Mr. Herring said letters from all applicable utilities servicing the plan have been received. Mr. Herring said they need to provide the substance of the covenants, easements, or other restrictions, including proposed/existing rights-of-ways for the public utilities. Mr. Victor said they are working on this and it will be part of the covenants. He said no formal easements are currently in place. He said they will be put on the old gas-line and provide documentation that there is no easement for the existing line. Mr. Herring asked for a tentative phasing schedule for the proposed development. Mr. Victor said the PRD would consist of two (2) phases, but both phases may be built at the same time. Mr. Herring asked for confirmation that the Developer will own/control the entire site for the development. Mr. Victor said there yes, there are currently two different property owners: the Moline's and the Thamwiwat's. He said the Moline's plan to keep a portion of their property where their home is currently located.

Mr. Herring continued with the review letter, and once again summarized the four waivers being requested by the Developer. Mr. Herring said he would like copies of the Geotechnical Report to be submitted to the Engineer and the Township. Mr. Herring said a Traffic Impact Study needs to be done for the proposed 97 dwelling units. He said it must investigate pre- and post-development impacts at all proposed intersections within a one half mile radius and include the intersection of the nearby Shawnee Ridge Plan. Mr. Herring noted because the Moline's wish to keep a portion of their parcel, a subdivision will need to be done during final approval of the plan.

APPROVED

Mr. Herring reviewed the comments regarding the public streets in the plan, currently being referred to as Danason Court and Stevenson Court. He said at the intersection of the two streets, it is not a true "T" intersection. He said it should just all be one road. Mr. Victor said the left hand portion is needed to provide access for Unit #40 and the Detention Facility. Mr. Ceponis asked if that portion will be paved; Mr. Victor said it will. Mr. Herring asked that the horizontal radius for each road to be shown on the plan. Mr. Herring said a 125' horizontal radius is required. Mr. Victor indicated that the Developer has utilized this layout in other developments, and wishes to proceed as currently proposed. Mr. Victor said a 125' horizontal radius is required by Township Code for cul-de-sac streets and indicated the proposed layout is traffic calming. Mr. Miller asked if a stop sign will be placed at the intersection; Mr. Victor said one can if required to do so by PennDOT. Mr. Curti said this is an issue because of it being a cul-de-sac. Mr. Herring said the proposed roadway does not meet the definition of an intersection. Therefore, the Developer shall require a 125' horizontal radius or request a waiver. A discussion was held regarding the differences and advantages of the proposed layout vs. a traditional 125' horizontal radius. Mr. Victor said they can always ask for another waiver, if needed. Mr. Herring said he does not think the topography would work for a future extension onto Jacoby Road. Mr. Herring said a 50' right-of-way, 25' from center, be provided for privately-owned McDade Lane.

Mr. Herring said a letter needs provided from the local Fire Chief that the placement of the fire hydrants is satisfactory for adequate fire protection. Mr. Herring said a tree survey needs provided showing that one tree will be replaced for every three trees that are removed, as per the Township's SALDO "Protection of Trees and Woodlands" guidelines, or request a modification if needed. Mr. Herring said all proposed improvement shall comply with the Township's Ordinance. A review will be conducted with the submission of the final plans.

A roadway bond must be posted if material is hauled to or from the site. Mr. Victor said it is balanced. Provide any protective covenants running with the land, if any. Mr. Victor said there are none other than the one pertaining to the gas well. A copy of the Allegheny County Department of Economic Development's review letter is needed; Mr. Curti said a copy of the plan and application was submitted to them by his office on February 16, 2018. A copy of the County Conservation District/PaDEP approval of the NPDES Permit for earth disturbance of more than one acre needs provided; Mr. Victor said they will apply for this soon. A General Permit for the proposed filling activities in the upper reaches of Stream WC-2 and WC-3 needs submitted. Mr. Victor said the locations of all existing and proposed utilities and associated easements are now on the newly submitted plans. Mr. Herring said they should schedule the proposed offsite storm sewer work in conjunction with the Township's Jacoby Road project or provide in-kind reimbursement. Mr. Victor said they plan to work with the Township on this when the Jacoby Road project begins. He will talk to the contractor once one is secured. The Owner must pay the Township Roadway Impact Fee of $1,100 per dwelling unit. Also, in lieu of recreational facilities, a Recreational Fee of $750 will be required. The Owner must enter into a Developer's Agreement that is acceptable by the Township Solicitor, and post all appropriate bonds.

APPROVED

Mr. Herring then reviewed the Engineer's Preliminary Storm Water Management Plan letter dated February 20, 2018. He said a review has been done by the Engineer of the Preliminary plans. Mr. Herring said approval of the E&S Control Plan/NPDES Permit needs provided. Infiltration tests at each proposed detention basin to verify the design infiltration rate needs to be provided during final approval. The access road for Detention Basin #1 needs to be relocated to be completely within the proposed open space and off of Lot #5. A stainless steel or HDPE trash rack needs provided on each orifice on the riser structure. The storm sewer collection system design will be reviewed during the final plan approval. The proposed lots shall have a dedicated roof leader collector line. It needs to be indicated who will own and maintain the detention facilities. The detention impoundment shall have a clay core, anti-seep collars, and be designed and constructed under the direction of a licensed professional geotechnical engineer. The seal and signature of a Professional Engineer responsible for preparing the plan needs put on the cover of the Stormwater Management Report. Mr. Herring said the PRD plans indicate that Basin #2 discharges runoff into a swale, which is then recollected by a headwall into a storm sewer. The PCSM Plans propose a continuous storm sewer from Basin #2 to the discharge point on Jacoby Road. This discrepancy needs rectified by showing the storm sewer on the PRD plans. Provide the location of the emergency spillway for both Basins on the PCSM plans and show it will not impact the existing downstream residential dwellings. Provide stormwater inlets upgradient of all proposed street intersections during final plan approval. Provide the location of swale for Lot 114 on the PRD Plans. Indicate how the stormwater runoff for Lots 126 to 134 and Lots 101 to 108 will be handled with respect to the proposed dwelling units. If the detention basins will be owned by the Township, they must be on a separate parcel of property. If they will be owned and operated by the HOA, an approved O&M plan, by-laws, officers and financial structure must be in-place prior to the Township accepting the plan. Mr. Herring said they must post money for a Special Stormwater Facility Fund in the amount of $5,000 per basin to defray the cost of inspection and maintenance for a 10 year period. Mr. Herring said since revised plans were submitted, some of these items have already been done.

Mr. Ceponis asked if the Planning Commission needs to make a decision about the requested waivers at tonight's meeting. Mr. Herring said they discussed Mr. Victor's waivers earlier, and that possibly one more may be added. Mr. Herring said there are still a lot of items that need to be addressed.

Mr. Victor asked if they are tabled tonight, if the "clock" would start again and they would come back to the Planning Commission for their March meeting. Mr. Curti said he will check with the Solicitors about the timing. Mr. Victor asked if the Public Hearing could be advertised to be held in April to meet the deadlines just in case the Planning Commission recommends approval at their March meeting. He said if they do not get approved, they would be willing to cover the advertising costs. Mr. Curti said no. Due to timing constraints, the Richmont Plan will need to have its Public Hearing and be heard by the Board of Supervisors at its May meeting. Mr. Victor asked if the 60 day "clock" begins after the date of application or the Planning Commission's recommendation of approval date. Mr. Curti said the date of the application was February 14, 2018.

APPROVED

A discussion was held to discuss the various waivers, and the pros and cons of each. A few members said they feel eliminating some units would be a way to avoid some of the waivers. Mr. Herring said a lot of the waivers that Richmont is asking for are very similar to those requested in the Fox Chapel Estates PRD proposal. Mr. Rouggie said he feels concerned that if waivers keep getting granted to appease the Developer, a precedent could be set. Mr. Curti said he was comfortable with the waiver on the townhouse structures being a bit closer because each unit is individually sprinklered and fire-rated materials are going to be used on the siding of the end units. Mr. Ceponis said he feels if the Fire Chief is comfortable with this waiver, he is too. Mr. Victor said if they are tabled tonight, it could impede their proposed building schedule. He said they wanted to start building in 2018. But, he said he is willing to address the Planning Commission's and Engineer's concerns, and re-submit plans at the Commission's March meeting. However, he did say this could delay their start of building until the Winter, 2018 or Spring, 2019.

Mr. Miller made a motion, seconded by Mr. Rouggie, recommending the RICHMONT ESTATES - PRD - TENTATIVE APPROVAL (2nd/Revised submittal) be tabled based on the large number of unaddressed outstanding items in the Engineer's review letters dated February 20 and 21, 2018.

Motion carried unanimously.

CORRESPONDENCE

LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION TO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Each Commission Member received a copy of the Planning Commission's Letter of Recommendation sent to the Board of Supervisors, which was written as a result of the Commission's November 15, 2017 regular meeting.

PLANNING COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT (2017)

Each Commission Member received a copy of the Planning Commission's Annual Report for 2017.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Rouggie made a motion, seconded by Mr. Miller, to adjourn the February 28, 2018, Planning Commission Reorganization Meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:07 p.m.

Jacquelyn A. Rouggie
Recording Secretary

APPROVED